

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/900,068	07/06/2001	Gerald E. Markley	GJH-0102	8590	
7590 07/25/2005			EXAMINER		
Gerard J. Hughes			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN		
ExxonMobil Re	search and Engineering	g Company			
P. O. Box 900	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Annandale, NJ 08801-0900			1764		

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T
	09/900,068	MARKLEY ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Walter D. Griffin	1764	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			<del></del>				
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
No.	Walter D. Griffin	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) L The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	· · · ——					
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Walt D. By	<u>L</u>				

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

\* Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amending the claims so that the catalyst in the first reaction stage consists essentially of Co and Mo and the catalyst in the second stage consists essentially of Ni and one or both of Mo and W raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: The arguments presented by applicants have not been addressed because they relate to amended claimed that have not been entered.